## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

JOSEPH TORRES,

v.

Applicant,

No. CV 08-1202 WJ/LAM

GEORGE TAPIA, et al.,

Respondents.

## ORDER DENYING APPLICANT'S THIRD MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Applicant's Motion [for] Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 21), filed on August 27, 2009. The Court has denied two previous motions for appointment of counsel filed by Applicant in this case finding that Applicant appears to understand the issues in the case and appears to be representing himself in an intelligent and capable manner. See Order Denying Petition for Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 8) at 1-2, and Order Denying Applicant's Petition for Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 14) (Doc. 16) at 1. In his Motion [for] Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 21) at 1-2, Applicant relies on the constitutional right to appointment of counsel for criminal proceedings, and states that appointment of counsel would assist him in bringing his ineffective assistance of counsel claims in his civil habeas corpus proceeding.

There is no constitutional right to court-appointed counsel in a civil case. *Johnson v. Johnson*, 466 F.3d 1213, 1217 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006) (citation omitted). The Court again considered "the merits of the litigant's claims, the nature of the factual issues raised in the claims, the litigant's ability to present his claims, and the complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims." *Rucks v. Boergermann*, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995) (quoting *Williams v. Meese*, 926 F.2d 994, 996

Case 1:08-cv-01202-WJ-LAM Document 22 Filed 09/11/09 Page 2 of 2

(10th Cir. 1991)). This case does not appear to raise complex or novel issues of law that might

justify the appointment of counsel. Moreover, Applicant continues to appear to understand the

issues in the case and to be representing himself in an intelligent and capable manner. Therefore,

his Motion [for] Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 21) will be DENIED at this time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Applicant's Motion [for] Appointment of Counsel

(Doc. 21) is **DENIED** at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LOURDES A. MARTÍNEZ

**United States Magistrate Judge**